#### ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERISITY THESIS PROJECT



#### **Coupled Shear Wall Systems in High Seismic Zones**



#### ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERISITY THESIS PROJECT







#### LOCATION

EXISTING STRUCTURE

**INTRODUCTION** 

BACKGROUND

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

San Juan, Puerto Rico
Bordered by the Caribbean & South American Plates



INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

CONCLUSION

LOCATION



ERTO RICO

# UBC 1997Seismic Zone 3



INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

# Project Overview IV Phase Development Project

 Image: Construction of the second of the

EXISTING

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>



BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

COMMODITIES

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

# Project Overview Parking Garage: Phase II 10 stories, 1700 parking spaces





INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

# Project Overview Parking Garage: Phase II

- 10 stories, 1700 parking spaces
- Condominium Tower: Phase III

Add. 14 stories

**4 – 3,500 ft**<sup>2</sup>

aparts / floor

Andrea and and and a					-	
14/10-42/m			ii 🗤			
		- # F	ATTEN TIK			
Married Taylore						
Antestate Apr 191	1000	拂분	1.11	- 봐ե	田 単	
- CONTROL ATLANT		뿔분	1481	- 14 184	二 岸 岸	
• Annual 10.00%		井井	1.	- 14 Hill	出 単	
******* Tx.20	1 H H H	井보	1	<u> <u></u></u>	出出	
Anterior sectors		- ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	1	- 詩開	出 当	
entreford Atlanta			1.1	- 14 開	二 農 島	
-mener many		뿔분	181	- 빌 뻞	二 単 単	
- CONTROL MILLION	- total	拂보		- 34 開	二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二 二	
******* 74.741 2		병보		- 빌ᄩ	二 単 単	
ensites aluna	<u>Hete</u>	비비	LEEL	비쁘		
10x - TH			-			
• 171.05.			0			
•7.00.	-		0			
BUR 2			10			
• <sup>70.03</sup>			0		1	
**************************************			El co	PARKING BALDING BY OT	1015	
• 7.45.	-					
.858	-					
. 17.45° 1	500					
, 201.0°						
.29.12"						
	C. Sandar		~~~~~			
	SECTR	ON MKD. B-B				



### **EXISTING STRUCTURE**

INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>



### **EXISTING STRUCTURE**

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

- Gravity System
   Cast-in-Place Bearing Wall
   9" Post-Tensioned Flat Slab
   36 12" Walls: 620 LF / Floor
   Typical Open Span: 17' E-W
- Lateral System
  - Bearing Walls act as Shear Walls
  - Very Stiff 10' x 160' Core
    - 4 Elevator Shafts
    - 3 Sets of Stairs



### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

INTRODUCTION

#### BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

CONCLUSION

#### **Lateral Discontinuity**

- Transition of Occupancy at 8<sup>th</sup> Floor
- Vertical Irregularity: UBC Table 16L
  - Type 1: Soft Story Transfer Girders
  - Type 2: Weight Mass Doubled Slab Area
    - Type 3: Vertical Geometry > 1.3L

**Type 4: In plane Discontinuity** 



#### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

#### Lateral Discontinuity

22 years	13'-1145	34'	27'-10 <sup>2</sup>	34′	13'-114'	
Change 01						
Story, 21						
story. zu						
Story 19						
Story. 18						
Story 17						
Story. 16.						
Story. 15.			APARTMENTS			
Story. 14						
Story. 13.						
Stony. 12						
Story, II				$X \times X$		
Story. 10						
Story 9						
Story 8						
<u>_</u>						
Story. 7						
Story 6	$\sim$	>	PARKING GARA	se	$\langle$	
Story 5	$\sim$		ICLE CIRCULAT	191	$\langle$	
Story. A	$\geq$				$\langle$	
Story 3	$\geq$				$\langle$	
Story 2	$\geq$				>>	
Story 1	$\geq$		$\geq$		$\geq$	
2 201	201-014	271-124	261-715			



#### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

Multiple Lateral Discontinuities
 Large Self Weight = 92,000k
 Low R <sub>Bearing Wall</sub> Value = 4.5

#### **VERY LARGE SEISMIC FORCES** V = 8400 KIPS



### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

**560 tons** 

INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

- Non-Structural Issues
   In plan, no open space > 22' E-W
   Material and Labor Intensive Design

   Concrete:
   11200 cy
   Formwork:
   520,000 ft<sup>2</sup>
  - Rebar:

### DESIGN GOALS

INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

#### Efficient Lateral System:

- Reduce No. Lateral Elements to 4 in each direction
- Limit No. Irregularities
- Has a Predictable and Clean Failure Mechanism
- Does not interfere with the Architecture & Assigned Use of Space

### **KEY ELELEMENTS**

INTRODUCTION

Courdes F. Diaz Structural Option

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

#### **Reduce Vase Shear:**

- Use a frame gravity system with a higher R: 5.5
- Reduce the Weight with a lighter Steel Frame
- **Improved Capacity:** 
  - Higher f'c = 5ksi
  - Thicker 24" walls
    - Diagonally Reinforced Coupled Walls
  - Higher T to increase participation of coupled beam
  - Limit discontinuity and use symmetry to keep a low ρ factor

#### Method

BACKGROUND

**INTRODUCTION** 

Lourdes F. Diaz Structural Option

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

#### Take advantage of the Existing 27'x30' Parking Grid



INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

ourdes F. Diaz Isudaal Oblica

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

#### Take advantage of the Existing 27'x30' Parking Grid

Requirements

Method

- Existing Height w/ 9" P/T Slab: 230 ft
- UBC Overall Height Restriction: 240 ft
- Clear Story Height Required: 9 ft
- Max Story Height Increase: 8 in

INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

Lourdes F. Diaz Structural Option

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>





#### Results – Parking Garage Flor Type: Parking Structure

EXISTING STRUCTURE

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>





#### INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>





INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

COMMODITIES

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

CONCLUSION

Results – Connection							
Check	Rn, kips	Φ	ΦRn, k	Ru, kips			
Lateral Flange Bending	465	0.9	419	415			
Local Web Yielding	519	1	519	415			
Local Web Crippling	724	0.75	543	415			
Web Buckling	642	0.85	545	415			





CTCENTRA



CONCLUSION

COUPLED WALLS

-COLUMNS





# **COUPLED WALLS**

Χ

X

Χ

X

X

X

Х

Χ

X

Х

X

Х

X

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

CONCLUSION

Method Story 21 Story, 20. **Direct Shear – ETABS** Story 19 Axial Dead & Live – RAM Story 18 V Story 17 Steel System W = 62,000 ki X Story 16 X 25% Reduction Story 15 X Story 14 Torsion Χ Story 13 X Eccentric Loading Story, 12 X Accidental Torsion, Ax = 2 Story II X Story, 10 **Load Combinations** Χ Story 9  $\nabla$ Story 8 ρ = 1.1 Story 7  $C_a = 0.33$ Story. 0.8D + 1.2E Story, 5 1.48D + 1.2E + 0.55LStory 3 Story.

Story 1

**Proposed Layout** 



INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

**CONCLUSION** 

#### ETABS MODEL





#### INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

DESIGN GOALS

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

STORY4

STORY3

STORY2

STORY1

AHP3-4

AHP3-4

AHP3-4

AHP3-4

EQY

EQY

EQY

EQY

Bottom

Bottom

Bottom

Bottom

-2188

-2293

-2398

-2518

-170

-179

-188

-197

0

0

0

0

CONSTRUCTION &COST

CONCLUSION

#### Pier Forces AH Units ft-kip Load Case: EQ X Load Combinations: 0.8\*D + 1.2\*E Load Case 1 Factored Loads 1.48\*D + 1.2\*E + 1.1L Load Case 2 Pier Load Loc PUCASE2, K PUCASE1, K VU, K Mu. ft-k Story Р $P_E(\pm)$ V<sub>E Direct</sub> V<sub>E Torsion</sub> V<sub>E Total</sub> ME STORY22 AHP3 EQY Bottom -96 -4 87 141 14 154 393 -251 27 185 472 STORY21 AHP3 EQY Bottom -144 -10 192 176 17 193 901 -456 115 232 1081 STORY20 AHP3 EQY Bottom -193 -16 280 36 22 58 467 -639 182 70 560 -241 -22 -822 249 171 813 EQY 28 142 678 STORY19 AHP3 Bottom 368 114 STORY18 AHP3 EQY -289 -26 484 156 34 189 946 -1038 349 227 1135 Bottom STORY17 AHP3 EQY Bottom -338 -30 627 189 39 228 1240 -1286 483 273 1488 EQY -386 -33 795 218 1556 -1563 646 313 1867 STORY16 AHP3 Bottom 44 261 STORY15 AHP3 EQY -434 -36 986 244 48 292 1892 -1866 836 350 2270 Bottom STORY14 AHP3 EQY -483 -39 1197 267 52 2246 -2194 1050 383 Bottom 319 2695 -531 -2543 STORY13 AHP3 EQY Bottom -42 1425 289 56 345 2620 1285 414 3144 AHP3 EQY -580 -45 1668 309 60 369 3016 -2910 1538 443 3619 STORY12 Bottom STORY11 AHP3 EQY Bottom -628 -48 1921 329 63 392 3450 -3288 1803 471 4140 -51 2167 350 4000 -3658 2059 500 4800 STORY10 AHP3 EQY Bottom -677 66 416 STORY9 AHP3 EQY -725 -53 2366 371 69 440 5008 -3971 2258 528 6009 Bottom -1769 -113 STORY8 AHP3-4 EQY Bottom 0 665 98 763 67872 -2742 -1415 916 81446 STORY7 AHP3-4 EQY Bottom -1874 -143 0 699 146 844 74861 -2930 -1499 1013 89833 -152 STORY6 AHP3-4 EQY Bottom -1979 0 738 185 923 8223 -3095 -1583 1107 98686 -161 983 STORY5 AHP3-4 EQY Bottom -2083 0 765 218 8988 -3261 -1667 1180 107864

788

806

815

828

Summary Results

RICTCENTRALBUSINESS DISTRICTCENT

245

265

279

286

1033

1071

1094

1115

97764

105820

113969

120194

-3426

-3591

-3756

-3944

-1751

-1834

-1918

-2015

1239

1285

1313

1337

117317

126984

136763

144233



INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

CONCLUSION

# Coupled Beams CR Ratio = 27%

#### Results

Spandrel Rei	nforcement
fc =	5 ksi
b <sub>w</sub> , in =	24 in
Φ=	0.85
Vc =	97.75 kips
fy =	60 ksi
ΦVn <sub>max</sub> =	391 kips

											Diagonal	Vertical		
Story	Spandrel	$\text{Load} V_{\text{E}}$	, kips	Vu, kips	h ,in	d,in	Vu/bwd√f°c	a, degi	A <sub>e-v req</sub> , in <sup>2</sup>	Ad <sub>used</sub> , in <sup>2</sup>	Bars	Bars	ΦVn. Kips	ΦVn/Vu
STORY22	ALS3-4	EQX	85.34	102.408	36	28.8	2.1	0	0.19	0.59	0	) #6@9"	143.27	1.40
STORY21	ALS3-4	EQX	108.46	130.152	36	28.8	2.7	0	0.46	0.59	C	#6@9"	143.27	1.10
STORY20	ALS3-4	EQX	86.85	104.22	36	28.8	2.1	0	0.21	0.59	C	#6 @ 9"	143.27	1.37
STORY19	ALS3-4	EQX	87.65	105.18	36	28.8	2.2	0	0.22	0.59	0	#6@9"	143.27	1.36
STORY18	ALS3-4	EQX	115.8	138.96	36	28.8	2.8	0	0.55	0.88	0	#6@6"	172.85	1.24
STORY17	ALS3-4	EQX	143.31	171.972	36	28.8	3.5	0	0.87	0.88	0	#6@6"	172.85	1.01
STORY16	ALS3-4	EQX	168.18	201.816	36	28.8	4.1	30	3.96	5.08	4.#9	0	259.08	1.28
STORY15	ALS3-4	EQX	190.54	228.648	36	28.8	4.7	30	4.48	5.08	4-#9	0	259.08	1.13
STORY14	ALS3-4	EQX	210.62	252.744	36	28.8	5.2	30	4.96	5.08	4-#9	0	259.08	1.03
STORY13	ALS3-4	EQX	228.46	274.152	36	28.8	5.6	30	5.38	5.08	4-#9	0	259.08	0.95
STORY12	ALS3-4	EQX	243.29	291.948	36	28.8	6.0	30	5.72	6.24	4-#10	0	318.24	1.09
STORY11	ALS3-4	EQX	252.77	303.324	36	28.8	6.2	30	5.95	6.24	4-#10	0	318.24	1.05
STORY10	ALS3-4	EQX	245.7	294.84	36	28.8	6.0	30	5.78	6.24	4-#10	0	318.24	1.08
STORY9	ALS3-4	EQX	198.68	238.416	36	28.8	4.9	30	4.67	6.24	4-#10	0	318.24	1.33
												-	Avg =	1.12



INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

COMMODITIES

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>





INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

COMMODITIES

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

CONCLUSION

#### Flexure ΦMn

- 1<sup>st</sup> Level
  - L = 33 ft
  - **B.Z: 39 #11**
  - Web: #10@9"
  - ΦMn = 146337 ft-k > 144233 ft-k



Strain Compatibility for Shear Walls Job: EQX (E-W) Date: Feb. 06 Location: 1st Level Limiting Concrete Strain Rectangular Stress Block (ultimate) Pier AH Engineer: Lourdes Diaz Length: 33' General Information 5.00 ksi f'c =fy = 60.00 ksi Governing Equation 08D + 12F 1 = 396.00 in Pu, kips = 2015.00 24.00 in Mu, ft-kips = 144233.00 b = 9504.00 in<sup>2</sup> Ag = phi = 0.82х = 75 00 in Compression Zone Boundary Element Length y = 24 00 in Compression Zone Boundary Element Width 198.00 in from extreme compression fiber cg = -0.0030 Ultimate concrete strain ( - compression) Strain с = 83.50 in 0.80 ß = ρ total = 0.0195 43127 kips Po= Reinforcement cover 3.00 #/row Area / Bar Х Boundary rows 13 spacing 6.00 Bar Size 11 3 1.56 75.00 196 50 Internal rows 26 spacing 9.00 Bar Size 10 2 1.27 Total Rows 393.00 Cc or Cs. As, in<sup>2</sup> Ts, kips Layer v.in Strain c or fs, ksi kips Moment Calculations Compression Zone 83.50 -0.00300 5.00 0.00 6813.60 0.00 1121518.56 As 1 3.00 -0.00289 60.00 4.68 0.00 280.80 0.00 54756.00 9.00 -0.00268 60.00 4.68 53071.20 As 2 0.00 280.80 0.00 -0.00246 As 3 15.00 60.00 4.68 0.00 280.80 0.00 51386.40 21.00 -0.00225 60.00 4.68 0.00 0.00 49701.60 As 4 280 80 As 5 27.00 -0.00203 60.00 4.68 0.00 280.80 0.00 48016.80 As 6 33.00 -0.00181 52.62 4.68 0.00 246.25 0.00 40630.67 39.00 -0.00160 46.37 4.68 0.00 216.99 0.00 34501.32 As 7 As 8 45.00 -0.00138 40.11 4.68 0.00 187.73 0.00 28723.07 As 9 51.00 -0.00117 33.86 4.68 0.00 158.48 0.00 23295.89 -0.00095 4.68 As 10 57.00 27 61 0.00 129.22 0.00 18219.80 As 11 63.00 -0.00074 21.36 4.68 0.00 99.96 0.00 13494.79 As 12 69.00 -0.00052 15.11 4.68 0.00 70.70 0.00 9120.87 75.00 -0.00031 4.68 0.00 41.45 0.00 5098.03 As 13 8.86 As 14 84.00 0.00002 0.52 2.54 1.32 0.00 -150.85 0.00 As 15 93.00 0.00034 9.90 2.54 25.14 0.00 -2639.85 0.00 0.00066 19.28 2.54 102.00 0.00 -4700.13As 16 48.96 0.00 0.00099 2.54 -6331.67 As 17 111.00 28.65 72.78 0.00 0.00 As 18 120.00 0.00131 38.03 2.54 96.60 0.00 -7534.49 0.00 129 00 0.00163 47.41 2 54 120.41 -8308 58 0.00 0.00 As 19 6927.04 9368.38 602602.88 1551535.01 Pn = 2471.81 9398.85 9368.38 Mn = 179511.49 ft-kip phi M = 146336.62 ft-kips 144233.00 . phi P = 2015.00 kips 2015.00

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

**CONCLUSION** 

I C T C F N T R A









#### INTRODUCTION

#### Flexure, ΦMn

#### Cut-Off Requirements – 0.8\*L

EXISTING STRUCTURE

BACKGROUND

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

COMMODITIES

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

CONCLUSION



SINESS DISTRICTCENTR



INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

CONCLUSION

Flexure, ΦMn
 Cut-Off Requirements – 0.8\*L
 Vertical Reinforcement

Summary Flexural Strenght: Preliminary Design

						Boundary	End Zon	6		Wal	l Web				
Story Level	L, ft	Pu	Mu,seismic	Mu, CUTOFF	# Layers	# Rows	Bar Size	Spacing	# Layers	# Rows	Bar Size	Spacing	ΦMn	Mpr	Po
1st - 4th	33	-2015	144233	144233	3	13	11	6	2	26	10	9	146337	224387	43127
5th - 8th	33	-1583	98686	123500	3	7	11	6	2	34	9	9	132047	195107	41429
9th - 12th	16	2258	6009	8056	2	6	10	6	2	13	9	9	7320.87	48408	19500
13th - 16th	16	1285	3144	4290	2	4	9	6	2	11	9	9	5668	38695	18701
17th - 22th	16	483	1488	2400	2	0	8	6	2	16	8	12	6578	24746	17919



### **COUPLED WALLS**

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

CONCLUSION

Ductility & Plastic Hinge Development Preferred Plastic Hinge

#### at Base:

Minimize Impact on Non-Structural Systems

 $\Theta_1 < \Theta_9$ 





**INTRODUCTION** 

BACKGROUND

**EXISTING** STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

COMMODITIES

CONSTRUCTION &COST

CONCLUSION

**Ductility & Plastic Hinge Development** Required Shear Strenght to Develop Plastic Hinge at 1st Story Level

0.6361

ip =	16.5	n.			
Pier:	AH				
V =	1026	kips			
Story Level	hi	hi - lp/2	∆i, ft	fi / V <sub>BASE</sub>	Work / V
22	230	221.75	1	0.271	0.2710
21	219.75	211.5	0.954	0.067	0.0641
20	209.5	201.25	0.908	-0.230	-0.2088
19	199.25	191	0.861	0.149	0.1284
18	189	180.75	0.815	0.079	0.0644
17	178.75	170.5	0.769	0.068	0.0525
16	168.5	160.25	0.723	0.058	0.0423
15	158.25	150	0.676	0.056	0.0376
14	148	139.75	0.630	0.048	0.0301
13	137.75	129.5	0.584	0.046	0.0268
12	127.5	119.25	0.538	0.042	0.0225
11	117.25	109	0.492	0.037	0.0182
10	107	98.75	0.445	0.067	0.0299
9	96.75	88.5	0.399	0.032	0.0128
8	86.5	78.25	0.353	0.031	0.0110
7	71.5	63.25	0.285	0.038	0.0108
6	61.5	53.25	0.240	0.042	0.0101
5	51.5	43.25	0.195	0.029	0.0057
4	41.5	33.25	0.150	0.025	0.0038
3	31.5	23.25	0.105	0.019	0.0020
2	21.5	13.25	0.060	0.010	0.0006
1	11.5	3.25	0.015	0.015	0.0002

θ =	1.0 / 221.7	5 =	0.00450958				
Internal Work , Coupling Beams							
Story	1.25V <sub>n</sub>	l <sub>e</sub> , ft	Work (ft-kip				
22	210.69	18.5	17.58				
21	210.69	18.5	17.58				
20	210.69	18.5	17.58				
19	210.69	18.5	17.58				
18	254.19	18.5	21.21				
17	254.19	18.5	21.21				
16	381	18.5	31.79				
15	381	18.5	31.79				
14	381	18.5	31.79				
13	381	18.5	31.79				
12	468	18.5	39.04				
11	468	18.5	39.04				
10	468	18.5	39.04				
9	468	18.5	39.04				
			396.04				

Internal Work, Piers						
Base	Mpr, (k -ft)		Work,( k -ft)			
AH	224387		1011.89			

Required Shear Strenght to Develop Plastic Hinge at 9 th Story Level lp = 8 ft Pier:

AH

V =	811	kips			
Story Level	1i	hi - lp/2	∆i, ft	fi / VBASE	Work / V
22	143.45	139.45	1.0000	0.3428	0.3428
21	133.2	129.2	0.9265	0.0851	0.0788
20	122.95	118.95	0.8530	-0.2910	-0.2482
19	112.7	108.7	0.7795	0.1887	0.1471
18	102.45	98.45	0.7060	0.0999	0.0705
17	92.2	88.2	0.6325	0.0863	0.0546
16	81.95	77.95	0.5590	0.0740	0.0414
15	71.7	67.7	0.4855	0.0703	0.0341
14	61.45	57.45	0.4120	0.0604	0.0249
13	51.2	47.2	0.3385	0.0580	0.0196
12	40.95	36.95	0.2650	0.0530	0.0140
11	30.7	26.7	0.1915	0.0469	0.0090
10	20.45	16.45	0.1180	0.0851	0.0100
9	10.2	6.2	0.0445	0.0407	0.0018
				1 0000	0 6004

#### Virtual Work

θ =	1.0 / 139.4	15 =	0.00717103				
Internal Work , Coupling Beams							
Story	1.25Vn	lc, ft	Work (ft-kip)				
22	2 210.69	18.5	27.95				
2	210.69	18.5	27.95				
20	210.69	18.5	27.95				
19	210.69	18.5	27.95				
18	3 254.19	18.5	33.72				
17	254.19	18.5	33.72				
10	381.00	18.5	50.54				
18	5 381.00	18.5	50.54				
14	4 381.00	18.5	50.54				
1:	3 381.00	18.5	50.54				
12	468.00	18.5	62.09				
11	468.00	18.5	62.09				
10	468.00	18.5	62.09				
9	468.00	18.5	62.09				
			629.77				

Internal Work, Piers						
Level	Mpr, (k -ft)	Work,( k -ft)				
9th A1	56800	407.31				
9th A2	40780	292.43				
		699 75				

(1066.90 + 396.04)/.6361 =

2213 kips



**INTRODUCTION** 

BACKGROUND

**EXISTING** STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

COMMODITIES

CONSTRUCTION &COST

CONCLUSION

#### **Minimum Shear and Magnified Shear** Demand

Minimum Reinforcement for Shear Strenght Kips-ft

Direction: EQ X Pier Label: AH omin = 0.0025 230 ft hw = 33 ft lw =6.97 hw/lw =0.85 Φ= Vn < 5376 (33' length)

Units:

 $V_u^* = \varpi_v (M_{pr} / M_u) * V_u$ 

Dynamic Amplification Factor

3.45

Vn <	2606 (16' length)						Ductile Behavior						
					Horizontal						Horizontal		
Level	Vu, kips	Length, ft	ρ <sub>REQ</sub>	PUSED	Reinforcement	ΦVn, kips	Vu, kips	Vu*, kips	Pn	PUSED	Reinforcemetn	ΦVn, kips	ΦVn/Vu
22	185	16	-0.0012	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	185	638	0.0004	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1271	1.99
21	232	16	-0.0010	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	232	799	0.0010	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1271	1.59
20	70	16	-0.0019	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	70	242	-0.0013	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1271	5.26
19	171	16	-0.0013	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	171	588	0.0001	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1271	2.16
18	227	16	-0.0010	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	227	783	0.0010	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1271	1.62
17	273	16	-0.0007	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	273	943	0.0017	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1271	1.35
16	313	16	-0.0005	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	313	1081	0.0022	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1271	1.18
15	350	16	-0.0002	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	350	1207	0.0028	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1271	1.05
14	383	16	0.0000	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	383	1322	0.0033	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	1847	1.40
13	414	16	0.0001	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	414	1429	0.0037	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	1847	1.29
12	443	16	0.0003	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	443	1527	0.0041	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	1847	1.21
11	471	16	0.0005	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	471	1625	0.0046	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	1847	1.14
10	500	16	0.0007	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	500	1724	0.0050	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	1847	1.07
9	528	16	0.0008	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	897	528	1822	0.0054	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	1847	1.01
8	885	33	0.0002	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1850	885	3053	0.0039	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	3808	1.25
7	931	33	0.0004	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1850	931	3212	0.0043	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	3808	1.19
6	983	33	0.0005	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1850	983	3390	0.0046	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	3808	1.12
5	1019	33	0.0006	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1850	1019	3516	0.0049	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	3808	1.08
4	1050	33	0.0007	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1850	1050	3622	0.0051	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	3808	1.05
3	1074	33	0.0008	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1850	1074	3705	0.0053	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	3808	1.03
2	1087	33	0.0008	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1850	1087	3749	0.0054	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	3808	1.02
1	1104	33	0.0009	0.00305	#6 @ 12" E.F.	1850	1104	3808	0.0055	0.00550	#8 @ 12" E.F.	3808	1.00



INTRODUCTION

Boundary Zones
 Requirements

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

CONCLUSION

Length

$P_u < 0.10 A_g f'c$	
$\frac{M_u}{l_u V_u} < 1.0$	
$V_u < 3A_{cv}\sqrt{f'_c},$	$\frac{M_u}{l_u V_u} < 3$
$\frac{B.Z(ft)}{E} = \frac{0.1P_u}{E}$	
$l_w = 0.2P_o$	

Required Bondary Zones According to UBC Simplied Procedure fc = 5 ksi

- 24 in
- B.Z. <sub>min</sub> = 0.15Lw

t =

					vu >		mu/(iuvu)					
Story	Lw, ft	P <sub>uCASE2</sub>	>0.10f'cAg	Vu, k	3Acv√f'c	Mu, ft-kip	> 3	Ро	0.35Po	0.15Po	B.Z./Lw	B.Z., in
1st	33	3944	4752	1104	2016	144233	3.96	43127	15094	6469	0.046	60
5th	33	3261	4752	1019	2016	107864	3.21	41429	14500	6214	0.039	60
9th	16	3971	2304	528	978	6009	0.71	203413	71195	30512	0.010	30
12th	16	2910	2304	443	978	3619	0.51	18701	6545	2805	0.078	30
16th	16	1866	2304	313	978	2270	0.45	17919	6272	2688	N.R	N.R.

Ties: <u>#5@6"</u>



INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST





INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>





INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

COUPLED WALLS

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST





# COMMODITIES

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 

#### Architecture

- Saved 160 ft<sup>2</sup> / Floor
- Flexible and Open Plan for:
  - Architect
  - Owner
  - Future Tenants
- Larger Open Areas of up to 50'



Acoustics

# COMMODITIES

#### INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

**CONCLUSION** 





DISTRICTCENTRA



### COMMODITIES

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

**CONCLUSION** 

Vibrations
Living Areas: W10X15
<b>↑TO</b>

Acoustics

W10X26

Floor Vibration 9	Summary		_
Slab			
	f'c, ksi	5	
	t, in	2.5	
	deck, in	1.5	
	n	6.15	
Beam			
	Size	W10x26	
	W, KIT	0.59	
	Area, in	7.61	
	a, in	10.2	
	I, in	144	
	L, T	21	
	Tributary Width, It	1.5	
	u <sub>eff</sub> ,in	90	
	Itransformed, IN4	551	
	Δ, in	0.442	
	f <sub>beam</sub> , Hz	5.32	
	B <sub>b</sub> , ft	28.35	Effective Width
	W <sub>b</sub> , kips	90.32	Effective Weight
Girder			
	Size	W10x22	
	w, klf	2.15	
	Area, in <sup>2</sup>	6.49	
	d, in	10.2	
	I, in <sup>4</sup>	188	
	L, ft	15	
	Tributary Width, ft	27	
	d <sub>eff</sub> ,in	45	
	I <sub>transformed</sub> , in4	597	
	Δ, in	0.141	
	f <sub>beam</sub> , Hz	9.42	
	D #	19.58	Effective Width
	Da. IL		
	W_ kips	23.39	Effective Weight
	W <sub>g</sub> , kips	23.39	Effective Weight
Combined Floor	W <sub>g</sub> , kips System	23.39	Effective Weight
Combined Floor	B <sub>g</sub> , n W <sub>g</sub> , kips <b>System</b> W, kips	23.39	Effective Weight
Combined Floor	Bg, n Wg, kips System W, kips f <sub>n</sub> , Hz	23.39 81.1 4.92	Effective Weight
Combined Floor	Bg, ft Wg, kips System W, kips f <sub>n</sub> , Hz ap/g, %	81.1 4.92 0.48	Effective Weight
Combined Floor	Bg, ft Wg, kips System W, kips f <sub>n</sub> , Hz a <sub>p</sub> /g, %	81.1 4.92 0.48	Effective Weight



# CONSTRUCTION

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION &COST

**CONCLUSION** 

#### U.S Cost

- \$1,000,000 Savings
- Formwork ↓ 450,000 sfca
- **Rebar Placement**  $\checkmark$  **100 tons**
- Puerto Rico
  - \$400,000 Deficit
  - Concrete Labor Market → 12%
  - Finishes & Partitions → 323%



## CONSTRUCTION

INT	R	DD	JC	<b>TIC</b>	N
11	1	A	/ /		

BACKGROUND

E	<b>XISTII</b>	NG
STF	RUCT	URE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

COMMODITIES

CONSTRUCTION &COST

**CONCLUSION** 

					Existing	g Building						
Lateral	System										Adjust	ed Values
Walls				Quantity	Daily Output	Material	Labor	Equipm.	Total	Total	City I	ndex - PR
	Concrete	4,000 psi	су	4661		91			91	\$424,151.00	0.761	\$322,778.91
	Form	4 uses	sfca	281740	23	5 2.42	7.05	5	9.47	\$2,668,077.80	0.299	\$797,755.26
	Placing	w/ Crane and Bucket	су	4661	95	5	22.5	5 10.65	33.15	\$154,512.15	0.761	\$117,583.75
	Finishes	Break ties/ patch voids	sfca	126297	540	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.78	\$136,400.76	0.761	\$103,800.98
	Rebar	#8-18 over 100 tons	tons	530	3.2	2 855	418.5	5 7.05	1280.55	\$678,691.50	1.01	\$685,478.42
	Unloading and	Sorting	tons	530	100	)	21.5	6.5	28	\$14,840.00	1.01	\$14,988.40
									Total	\$4,076,673.21		\$2,042,385.71
Floor Sy	/stem/ Floor Cost											
Number	Floors	14		Quantity	Daily Output	Material	Labor	Equipm.	Total	Total	!	
Floor Sla	ab							• •			!	
	Concrete	4.500psi	CV	467		93			93	\$608.034.00	0.761	\$462.713.87
	Form	Flat Plate - 4 Uses	sf	16813	560	) 1.4	2.96	; 0	4.36	\$1.026,265.52	0.299	\$306,853,39
	Placing	9" thick elvated	CV	467	160	)	11.9	4.65	16.55	\$108,203,90	0.761	\$82,343.17
	Finishing	Screen, Float, Hand Tr	sf	16813	600	)	0.46	0.46	0.68	,	0,761	\$0.00
	Rebar	Elevated Slab #4-#7	tons	8.8	2.9	905	435	5	1340	\$165.088.00	1.01	\$166,738,88
	P/T Tendons	Ungrouted 100' span	lbs	11200	1500	0.47	0.85	0.02	1.34	\$210,112,00	1.01	\$212 213 12
	Unloading and	Sorting	tons	8.8	100	)	21.5	6.5	28	\$246.40	1.01	\$248.86
						-			Total	\$2 117 703 42		\$1,231,111,30
									rotar	\$2,111,100112	I	•1,201,11100
									Total	\$6 194 376 63	· .	\$3 273 497 01
									Total	\$0,104,010,00	4	00,210,101101
				54	al Eromo 9	Counted	Malla					
				50	er Frame o	Coupled	wans					
				Quantity	Daily Output	Material	Labor	Equipm.	Total	Total	Adjust	ed Values
Lateral S	System										City	/ Index
Walls	Concrete	5,000 psi	су	3960		96			96	\$380,160.00	0.761	\$289,301.76
	Form	4 uses	sfca	81760	23	5 2.42	7.05		9.47	\$774,267.20	0.299	\$231,505.89
	Placing	w/ Crane and Bucket	су	3960	98	)	22.5	) 10.65	33.15	\$131,274.00	0.761	\$99,899.51
	Finishes	Break ties/ patch voids	sfca	496	540	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.78	\$386.88	0.761	\$294.42
	Rebar	#8-18 over 100 tons	tons	477	3.2	2 855	418.5	5 7.05	1280.55	\$610,822.35	1.01	\$616,930.57
	Unloading and	Sorting	tons	477	100	)	21.5	6.5	28	\$13,356.00	1.01	\$13,489.56
	Coupling Beam	s		23.85	3.2	2			5% Rebar	\$31,208.92	1.01	\$31,521.01
								Total		\$1,941,475.35		\$1,282,942.72
Frame S	ystem/ Floor Cost											
Number	of Floors		1	4							ļ	
	Steel	Apartments>15 story	tons	735	13.9	9 2050	365	5 118	2533	\$1,861,755.00	0.878	\$1,634,620.89
	Deck	20 gage, 1.5"	sf	16813	4300	) 1.24	0.3	0.02	1.56	\$367,195.92	0.878	\$322,398.02
	Concrete	4500psi	су	182		93			93	\$236,964.00	0.761	\$180,329.60
	Placing	<6" Pumped	су	182	140	)	13.55	5.3	18.85	\$48,029.80	0.761	\$36,550.68
	Finishes	Screen, Float, Hand Tr	sf	16813	600	)	0.46	6 0.46	0.68	\$160,059.76	0.761	\$121,805.48
	Studs	3/4" dia 3-3/8" long	ea	2700	950	0.43	0.69	0.28	1.4	\$52,920.00	0.878	\$46,463.76
									Total	\$2,726,924.48	i –	\$2,342,168.43
Addition	al Cost											
	Exterior Shell	6" thick, 4000psi, with	sf	4225					12.2	\$51,545.00	0.868	\$44,741.06
-												
								-				
									Total	\$4,719,944.83		\$3,669,852.21
								I	Total	\$4,719,944.83	i I	\$3,669,852.21
								Difference	Total	\$4,719,944.83 \$1,474,431.80		\$3,669,852.21

U S I N E S S D I S T R I C T C E N T R A



# CONCLUSION

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

**KEY ELEMENTS** 

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

- Current design reflects the labor practices and situation of the country
- Designers applied the best and most economical design to a complicated structure
- Coupled wall systems proved to be an effective resisting system, allowed for increased floor area and architectural freedom
- Reduced the amount of material and labor required for the project
- Overall: Good design when the resources are available



THANK YOU

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

EXISTING STRUCTURE

PROBLEM STATEMENT

**DESIGN GOALS** 

KEY ELEMENTS

FRAME SYSTEM

**COUPLED WALLS** 

**COMMODITIES** 

CONSTRUCTION <u>&COST</u>

